

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/649,808 08/28/2003  |             | Klaas Bult           | 1875.0510002           | 5778             |  |
| 26111 7590 12/27/2005<br>STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC<br>1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |             |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | LAM, TUA               | LAM, TUAN THIEU  |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,  | 71, 20 2000 |                      | 2816                   |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/27/200 | 5                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| AK | • |
|----|---|
|----|---|

|   | Application No.       | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Anti-us Communication  | 10/649,808            | BULT ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner              | Art Unit     |  |  |  |  |
|   | Tuan T. Lam           | 2816         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 N   | <u>ovember 2005</u> . |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  | action is non-final.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>   |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                       |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Tradement Office.  |                       |              |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/649,808 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a response to the amendment filed 11/7/2005. Claims 1-20 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 7-12, 15-16 and 18-20, drawn to biastable pair of transistor and a vertical latch circuit, classified in class 327, subclass 57.
- II. Claims 13-14, drawn to reset circuit, classified in class 327, subclass 142.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 1. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are having uncommon technical features.
- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## **Species Election Requirement**

Claims 1-6, 7-12, 15-16 and 18-20 are further subjected to species election as follows:

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A consists of claims 1-6 read on figure 4.

Species B consists of claim 7-12 read on figure 16.

Species C consists of claims 15-20 read on figure 4.

Art Unit: 2816

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is a generic claim of species A and C.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/649,808

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan T Lam

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2816

12/17/2005